STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the application of

MICHIGAN GAS UTILITIES CORPORATION

for authority to increase retail natural gas rates
and for other relief.

Case No. U-17880

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF CHRISTINE M. HANS, CPA

FOR

MICHIGAN GAS UTILITIES CORPORATION

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * *

| In the matter of the application of |) | |
|--|---|------------------|
| MICHIGAN GAS UTILITIES CORPORATION |) | |
| for authority to increase retail natural gas rates |) | Case No. U-17880 |
| and for other relief. |) | |
| |) | |

QUALIFICATIONS OF CHRISTINE M. HANS, CPA PART I

1 Q. Please state your name, position and business address.

A. My name is Christine M. Hans. My business address is Integrys Business Support ("IBS"), 200 East Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois 60601. I am Manager - Benefits Accounting in the Benefits Accounting Department of Integrys Energy Group, Inc. ("Integrys"). Both IBS and Michigan Gas Utilities Corporation ("MGUC") are whollyowned subsidiaries of Integrys.

7

8

2

3

4

5

6

Q. For whom are you providing testimony?

9 A. I am providing testimony on behalf of MGUC.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Α.

Q. Please describe briefly your educational, professional, and utility background.

I have a Bachelor of Science Degree from Illinois Wesleyan University with a major in Accounting. I am registered in the State of Illinois as a Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") and have been employed by IBS or its predecessors since May of 1990. In my current position in the Benefits Accounting Department, my primary duties include the accounting for the costs of the employee benefit plans, coordinating the forecasting done by the actuaries, and ensuring accounting compliance of the

employee benefit plans and trusts for Integrys and its subsidiaries, including MGUC.

Q. Have you previously testified before any regulatory agency?

A. Yes, I have. I have testified before the Illinois Commerce Commission on behalf of The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company, and North Shore Gas Company in Docket Nos. 09-0166/0167, 11-0280/0281, 12-0511/0512 and 14-0224/0225. I have submitted testimony before the Michigan Public Service Commission on behalf of MGUC in Case Nos. U-15990 and U-17273; on behalf of Wisconsin Public Service Company in Case No. U-17669; and on behalf of Upper Peninsula Power Company in Case Nos. U-15988, U-16166, U-16417 and U-17274. I have testified before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission on behalf of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation in Docket Nos. G007,001/GR-10-977 and G011/GR-13-167. I have also submitted testimony before the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin in Docket Nos. 6690-UR-119, 6690-UR-120, 6690-UR-121, 6690-UR-122 and 6690-UR-123.

CHRISTINE M. HANS, CPA DIRECT TESTIMONY PART II

| 1 | Q. | What is the purpose of your pre-filed direct testimony? |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | A. | The purpose of my pre-filed direct testimony is to explain the methodologies used to |
| 3 | | determine MGUC's forecast of 2016 employee benefit costs. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Q. | Are you sponsoring schedules to any exhibits in this proceeding? |
| 6 | A. | Yes, I am. I am sponsoring Schedules C27 and C28 to Exhibit A-3. |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | Q. | Were Schedules C27 and C28 of Exhibit A-3 prepared by you or under your |
| 9 | | direction and supervision? |
| 10 | A. | Yes, they were. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | Q. | Please describe Schedule C27. |
| 13 | A. | Schedule C27 of Exhibit A-3 is a summary, by sub-account, of employee benefit |
| 14 | | costs for MGUC employees for the 2014 historic year, and the 2016 projected year, |
| 15 | | inclusive of MGUC's allocation of employee benefit costs from IBS. |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Q. | Please describe Schedule C28. |
| 18 | A. | Schedule C28 of Exhibit A-3 is a summary, by sub-account, of IBS employee benefit |
| 19 | | costs for the 2014 historic year, and the 2016 projected year. This exhibit also |
| 20 | | calculates MGUC's allocation of employee benefit costs from IBS. |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | Q. | What is the current forecast of employee benefit costs for MGUC for 2016? |
| 23 | A. | The current forecast of employee benefit costs for MGUC, on a corporate basis, for |
| 24 | | the 2016 projected year is \$3,723,802 inclusive of MGUC's allocation of employee |

| 1 | | benefit costs from IBS. This compares to \$3,652,381 for the 2014 historic year, on a |
|----------------------|----|---|
| 2 | | corporate basis. This is an increase of \$71,421 over a two-year period, or 2.0%. |
| 3 | | This 2.0% increase over two years corresponds to an increase of 1.01% per year. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 6 | Q. | Forecasting Methodologies How was the forecast of employee benefit costs for MGUC for 2016 |
| 7 | | developed? |
| 8 | A. | As shown on Schedule C27, MGUC divided the forecast of employee benefit costs |
| 9 | | into three categories. These categories were: |
| 10 | | 1. Forecasted 2016 costs that were determined by MGUC estimates, |
| 11 12 13 14 | | Forecasted 2016 costs that were determined by inflating 2014 actual costs, and |
| 15 | | 3. Forecasted 2016 costs that were determined through actuarial analysis. |
| 16 | | |
| 17 18 | Q. | Employee Benefit Costs that were Estimated by MGUC Please describe the process used to determine the forecasted 2016 employee |
| 19 | | benefit costs that were determined by MGUC estimates. |
| 20 | A. | There are four. The total impact of these items is a net increase of \$193,288 from |
| 21 | | 2014 to 2016. |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | First, as shown on Exhibit A-3, Schedule C27, line 1, regarding the costs recorded in |
| 24 | | Account 926080 A&G Dental Benefits, MGUC estimates the 2016 costs to be |
| 25 | | \$113,012. The overall increase in 2016 costs as compared to 2014 costs is \$4,105. |
| 26 | | Self-insured dental costs for active employees are determined by calculating a cost |
| 27 | | per full-time equivalent employee ("FTE"). The rate per FTE is then applied to the |
| 28 | | number of FTE's in the test year. Projected dental costs were calculated using the |
| 29 | | actuarially calculated premium rates for 2014 benefit coverage and a 4% annual |
| 30 | | inflation rate for 2015 and a 4% annual inflation rate for 2016 based on trend |

information received from MGUC's independent actuary, Towers Watson ("Towers").

Second, as shown on Exhibit A-3, Schedule C27, line 2, regarding the costs recorded in Account 926090 A&G Medical Benefits, MGUC estimates the 2016 costs to be \$1,430,492. The overall increase in 2016 costs as compared to 2014 costs is \$223,594. Like dental costs, self-insured medical costs for active employees are determined by calculating a cost per FTE. The rate per FTE is then applied to the number of FTE's in the test year. Projected medical costs were calculated using the actuarially calculated premium rates for 2014 benefit coverage and a 6.8% annual inflation rate for 2015 and a 6.55% annual inflation rate for 2016 based on trend information received from Towers, MGUC's independent actuary.

Third, as shown on Exhibit A-3, Schedule C27, line 3, regarding the costs recorded in Account 926190 Goal Sharing, for purposes of calculating the Pension & Benefits Known & Measurable adjustment, MGUC estimates the 2016 costs to be \$0. Please see the direct testimony of Ms. Katherine A. De Cramer and the Exhibit A-3, Schedule C15 regarding the Pay-at-Risk calculation.

Lastly, as shown on Exhibit A-3, Schedule C27, line 4, regarding the costs recorded in Account 926300 IBS Billed Benefits, MGUC estimates the 2016 costs to be \$904,681. The overall <u>decrease</u> in 2016 costs allocated to MGUC as compared to 2014 allocated costs is \$6,806. The primary driver behind holding costs about the same for the 2016 test year is lower costs determined by actuarial analysis somewhat offset by higher medical costs for active employees. Specifically, in 2014 IBS incurred settlement charges for the Supplemental Retirement Plan of \$417,095 in 2014 compared to \$0 in 2016; and IBS had, in the Restoration Plan, \$323,662 in actuarial loss amortizations compared to \$0 in 2016.

| 1 | | |
|----------|----|---|
| 2 | Q. | How were IBS employee benefit cost projections calculated? |
| 3 | A. | IBS employee benefits cost projections relied on the same assumptions, actuarial |
| 4 | | analyses, and methodologies used for MGUC employee benefit costs, as described |
| 5 | | in this testimony. |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | Detail regarding the IBS employee benefits costs is shown on Exhibit A-3, Schedule |
| 8 | | C28. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 11 | Q. | Employee Benefit Costs that were Determined by Inflating 2014 Actual Costs Please describe the process used to determine the forecasted 2016 employee |
| 12 | | benefit costs that were determined by inflation. |
| 13 | A. | As shown on Exhibit A-3, Schedule C27, for the sub-accounts shown on lines 8 |
| 14 | | through 23, MGUC inflated 2014 actual costs by the inflation factors developed by |
| 15 | | MGUC witness Ms. Katherine A. De Cramer, CPA in Exhibit A-7. The overall |
| 16 | | decrease in costs forecasted by inflating 2014 costs to 2016 was \$3,545, or 3.3%. |
| 17 | | This 3.3% increase over two years corresponds to 1.64% per year. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 20 | Q. | Employee Benefit Costs that were Determined by Actuarial Analysis Please describe the process used to determine the forecasted 2016 employee |
| 21 | | benefit costs that were determined by actuarial analysis. |
| 22 | A. | As shown on Exhibit A-3, Schedule C27, for five sub-accounts, MGUC relied on an |
| 23 | | actuarial analysis to determine forecasted 2014 employee benefit costs. The specific |
| 24 | | methods and assumptions employed are described below. The overall <u>decrease</u> in |
| 25 | | costs from 2014 to 2016 forecasted by actuarial analysis is \$118,322, or a <u>decrease</u> |
| 26 | | of 7.9%. This 7.9% decrease over two years corresponds to 3.87% per year. |
| 27 | | |

The 2016 employee benefit costs that were determined by actuarial analysis are

28

| 1 | | related to: |
|--------|----|---|
| 2 | | 1. Pension, |
| 3 | | 2. Post Retirement Medical, |
| 4 | | 3. Pension Restoration, |
| 5 | | 4. Supplemental Pension, and |
| 6 | | 5. Post Retirement Life. |
| 7 | | |
| 8 9 | Q. | Employee Pension Expense Please describe the development of the pension expense shown on line 26 of |
| 10 | | Exhibit A-3, Schedule C27. |
| 11 | A. | Pension expense is determined using actuarial analysis, which is performed in |
| 12 | | accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting |
| 13 | | Standards Codification ("ASC") 715-30, Defined Benefit Plans – Pension ("ASC 715- |
| 14 | | 30", formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 87). |
| 15 | | MGUC follows Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") for its financial |
| 16 | | statements. Under the provisions of GAAP, ASC 715-30 describes the |
| 17 | | methodologies and assumptions used to calculate and account for pension expense. |
| 18 | | ASC 715-30 requires an annual determination of the pension expense for the year. |
| 19 | | This expense is determined by the actuary each year based upon: |
| 20 | | 1. Employee census data, |
| 21 | | 2. Current plan provisions, |
| 22 | | 3. Plan asset performance, and |
| 23 | | 4. Certain other actuarial assumptions. |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | For the ASC 715-30 pension expense, MGUC's actuary, Towers, performs the |
| 26 | | calculations required by this accounting standard annually to determine MGUC's |
| 27 | | pension expense. MGUC's external auditors, Deloitte & Touche ("D&T"), review the |

| 1 | actuarial assumptions used to ensure consistency with GAAP. |
|----------------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | There are four components of the ASC 715-30 pension expense. They are: |
| 4 5 | 1. Service cost, |
| 6 7 | 2. Interest cost, |
| 8 9 | 3. Expected earnings on plan assets, and |
| 10 11 12 | Amortization of gains and losses, prior service costs, and any transitional amounts. |
| 13 | Service cost represents one-year's pro-rata share of the expected benefits earned |
| 14 | during the year by current active employees. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Interest cost represents interest on the plan's benefit obligation (its liabilities) due to |
| 17 | the passage of time. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | There is also an assumption regarding the expected return on assets for the year, |
| 20 | which is measured against the actual returns for the period. This rate of return |
| 21 | assumption is intended to be a long-term assumption of the return on plan assets. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | The final component represents the amortization of various plan experiences that |
| 24 | were not anticipated by actuarial assumptions. |
| 25 | |
| 26 | In order to calculate the plan's total benefit obligation and annual ASC 715-30 |
| 27 | expense, the actuary uses a number of assumptions including: |
| 28 | 1. Mortality tables, |
| 29 | 2. Retirement rates from MGUC, |
| 30 | 3. Anticipated salary increases, |
| 31 | Expected return on plan assets. |

| 1 | | 5. Interest crediting rate, and |
|----------|----|--|
| 2 | | 6. Discount rate. |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | Integrys management, as well as MGUC's external auditor, D&T, reviews these |
| 5 | | assumptions for reasonableness. A rate of return on assets of 7.75%, and a |
| 6 | | discount rate of 4.10%, were used to forecast the 2016 pension expense. |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | The actuary then calculates the annual ASC 715-30 pension expense for MGUC. |
| 9 | | This amount was \$170,006 in 2014, and is projected to be \$204,794 for 2016. This |
| 10 | | is an increase of \$34,788. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | Also included in this expense for both 2014 and 2016 is \$821,606 of amortization |
| 13 | | expense authorized by the Commission's January 9, 2007 Order in Case No. U- |
| 14 | | 15138. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 17 | Q. | Post Retirement Medical Please describe the development of the post retirement medical expense |
| 18 | | shown on line 27 of Exhibit A-3, Schedule C27. |
| 19 | A. | The expense for retirees is determined using actuarial analysis, which is performed |
| 20 | | in accordance with ASC 715-60, Defined Benefit Plans - Other Postretirement ("ASC |
| 21 | | 715-60", formerly "SFAS 106"). As stated above, MGUC follows GAAP for its |
| 22 | | financial statements. Under the provisions of GAAP, ASC 715-60 describes the |
| 23 | | methodologies and assumptions used to calculate and account for retiree health care |
| 24 | | expense. |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | The actuary performs the calculations required by this accounting standard annually |
| 27 | | to determine MGUC's ASC 715-60 expense. D&T reviews the actuarial assumptions |

| 1 | used to ensure consistency with GAAP. |
|----------------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | ASC 715-60 requires an annual determination of the retiree health care expense for |
| 4 | the year, also referred to as Other Post-Employment Benefits ("OPEB") expense or |
| 5 | Post Employment Benefits other than Pension ("PBOP"). This expense is |
| 6 | determined by the actuary each year based upon: |
| 7 | 1. Employee census data, |
| 8 | 2. Current plan provisions, |
| 9 | 3. Plan asset performance, and |
| 10 | 4. Certain other actuarial assumptions. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | There are four components of SFAS No. 106 expense: |
| 13 14 | 1. Service cost, |
| 15 16 | 2. Interest cost, |
| 17 18 | 3. Expected earnings on plan assets, and |
| 19 20 21 | Amortization of gains and losses, prior service costs, and any transitional amounts. |
| 22 | These are the same four components that are used in the calculation of pension |
| 23 | expense, although different assumptions are used for health care. |
| 24 | |
| 25 | In order to calculate the plan's total benefit obligation and annual ASC 715-60 |
| 26 | expense, the actuary uses a number of assumptions including: |
| 27 | 1. Health care inflation trend rates, |
| 28 | 2. Mortality tables, |
| 29 | 3. Retirement rates from MGUC, |
| 30 | 4. Actual retiree health care claims experience specific to MGUC, |
| 31 | 5. Expected return on plan assets, and |
| | - 10 - |

6. A discount rate.

Integrys management, as well as MGUC's external auditor, D&T, reviews these assumptions. A rate of return on assets of 7.75% and a discount rate of 3.60% was used to forecast the 2016 administrative post retirement medical expense. A rate of return on assets of 7.75% and a discount rate of 4.15% was used to forecast the 2016 non-administrative or union post retirement medical expense.

The actuary then calculates the annual ASC 715-60 expense component for each year, which was \$(271,281) for the 2014 historic test year, and is projected to be \$(417,709) for the 2016 projected test year. This is a <u>decrease</u> of \$146,428. The decrease is primarily attributable to a change in post-65 coverage which was reflected for only ten months of 2014; such change is reflected for all twelve months of 2016.

Also included in this expense for both 2014 and 2016 is \$729,658 of amortization expense authorized by the Commission's January 9, 2007 Order in Case No. U-15138.

Pension Restoration

- Q. Please describe the development of the pension restoration plan expense shown on line 28 of Exhibit A-3, Schedule C27.
- A. The pension restoration plan expense is calculated in accordance with ASC 715-30 accounting rules, identical in nature to the pension expense described above. This amount was \$56 in 2014, and is projected to be \$0 for the 2016 test year, which is a decrease of \$56.

Also included is plan expense of the Deferred Income Plan. The Deferred Income 1 2 Plan expense was \$6,745 in 2014, and is projected to be \$5,426 for the 2016 test 3 year, which is a decrease of \$1,319. 4 5 **Supplemental Pension** Q. Please describe the development of the supplemental pension plan expense 6 7 shown on line 29 of Exhibit A-3, Schedule C27. 8 Α. The supplemental pension plan expense is calculated in accordance with ASC 715-9 30 accounting rules, identical in nature to the pension expense described above. A 10 discount rate of 3.65% was used to forecast the 2016 supplemental pension plan 11 expense. This amount was \$25,022 in 2014, and is projected to be \$20,235 for the 12 2016 test year, which is a decrease of \$4,787. 13 14 Also included in this expense for both 2014 and 2016 is \$12,346 of amortization 15 expense authorized by the Commission's January 9, 2007 Order in Case No. U-16 15138. 17 **Post Retirement Life** 18 Please describe the development of the post retirement life benefit plan 19 Q. 20 expense shown on line 30 of Exhibit A-3, Schedule C27. 21 A. The post retirement life insurance expense is calculated in accordance with the requirements of ASC 715-60, consistent with the post retirement medical expense 22 23 described above. A rate of return on assets of 7.75%, and a discount rate of 4.00%, 24 were used to forecast the 2016 post retirement life insurance expense. This amount 25 was \$10,847 in 2014, and is projected to be \$10,327 in 2016. This is a decrease of 26 \$520. 27

28

| 1 | A. | Yes, it will. Upon request, MGUC will provide an updated actuarial analysis to |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | Commission Staff and to the parties in this proceeding if one is completed during the |
| 3 | | pendency of this proceeding. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Q. | In light of the approvals granted in Case No. U-17682 and the impending |
| 6 | | merger of Wisconsin Energy Corporation ("WEC") and Integrys to be |
| 7 | | completed in 2015, do you anticipate that the substance of your testimony or |
| 8 | | sponsored exhibits will need to be modified to reflect the change in MGUC's |
| 9 | | control from Integrys to WEC? |
| 10 | A. | No, I do not at this time. With the merger of Integrys into WEC and WEC taking |
| 11 | | control of MGUC, with the exceptions of IBS changing its name to WEC Business |
| 12 | | Support LLC., ("WBS"), we expect business as usual, with no significant immediate |
| 13 | | changes. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Q. | Does this complete your pre-filed direct testimony? |

Yes, it does.

16

A.

Case No.: U-17880 Exhibit No.: A-3 Schedule: C27 Page: 1 of 1 Witness: Christine M. Hans, CPA

MICHIGAN GAS UTILITY COMPANY

Summary of Employee Benefits Costs

Test Year Ended December 31, 2016

| | | 2014 | 2016 | | | | |
|----------|---|-------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|---|
| Line No. | Sub-Account Description | Actual \$ | Forecast \$ | | Increase \$ | Increase % | Forecast Method |
| 1 | 926080 A&G Dental Benefits | \$ 108,907 | \$ 113,012 | \$ | 4,105 | 3.8% | MGUC Estimate |
| 2 | 926090 A&G Medical Benefits | \$ 1,206,898 | \$, | \$ | 223,594 | 18.5% | MGUC Estimate |
| 3 | 926190 Goal Sharing | \$ 27,605 | \$ - | \$ | (27,605) | -100.0% | MGUC Estimate |
| 4 | 926300 IBS Billed Benefits | \$ 911,487 | \$ 904,681 | \$ | (6,806) | -0.7% | Exhibit A-3 (CMH-1), Schedule C28 |
| 5 | Subtotal - MGUC Estimate | \$ 2,254,897 | \$ 2,448,185 | \$ | 193,288 | 8.6% | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| 6 | | , , , , , , , | , -, | <u> </u> | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 926000 A&G-Employee Pension and Benefits | \$ (645) | \$ (666) | \$ | (21) | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 9 | 926007 Company Match 401K | \$ 251,072 | \$ 259,352 | \$ | 8,280 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 10 | 926020 Time Away From Work Residual Balance | \$ 1,525,406 | \$ 1,575,712 | \$ | 50,306 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 11 | 926025 Time Away From Work - Clearing | \$ (1,520,525) | \$ (1,570,670) | \$ | (50,145) | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 12 | 926026 IBS Billed Non Productilve Time - Residual Balance | \$ (3,484) | (3,599) | | (115) | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 13 | 926050 Human Resources Dept General | \$ 171,267 | \$ 176,915 | | 5,648 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 14 | 926070 Christmas Gift Check Expense - Retirees | \$ 4,631 | \$ 4,784 | \$ | 153 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 15 | 926120 Joint Plant A&G & Non-Utility Loading | \$ (1,311) | \$ (1,354) | \$ | (43) | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 16 | 926135 Fully-Insured Long Term Disability Premium Exp | \$ 33,379 | \$ 34,480 | \$ | 1,101 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 17 | 926140 A&G ESOP Contribution Expense | \$ 252,196 | \$ 260,513 | \$ | 8,317 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 18 | 926170 A&G Capitalized Pensions and Benefits | \$ (1,145,918) | \$ (1,183,709) | \$ | (37,791) | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 19 | 926191 IBS Billed Incentive Residual | \$ (7,411) | \$ (7,655) | \$ | (244) | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 20 | 926200 Employee Benefits Tuition Reimbursement | \$ 11,154 | \$ 11,522 | \$ | 368 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 21 | 926255 Defined Contribution Plan Exp | \$ 280,092 | \$ 289,329 | \$ | 9,237 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 22 | 926250 Company Provided Life Insurance | \$ 16,932 | \$ 17,490 | \$ | 558 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 23 | 926330 Benefits-Wellness | \$ 25,644 | \$ 26,490 | \$ | 846 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 24 | Subtotal - Inflationary Items | \$ (107,521) | \$ (111,066) | \$ | (3,545) | 3.3% | |
| 25 | | | | | | | |
| 26 | 926060 A&G Pension Expense | \$ 991,612 | \$ 1,026,400 | \$ | 34,788 | 3.5% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 27 | 926180 A&G Post Retirement Medical | \$ 458,377 | \$ 311,949 | \$ | (146,428) | -31.9% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 28 | 926210 Pension Restoration | \$ 6,801 | \$ 5,426 | \$ | (1,375) | -20.2% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 29 | 926220 Supp Pension Plan Exp | \$ 37,368 | \$ 32,581 | \$ | (4,787) | -12.8% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 30 | 926305 Post Retirement Life | \$ 10,847 | \$ 10,327 | \$ | (520) | -4.8% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 31 | Subtotal - Actuarial Analysis | \$ 1,505,005 | \$ 1,386,683 | \$ | (118,322) | -7.9% | |
| 32 | | | | | | | |
| 33 | TOTAL EMPLOYEE BENEFIT COSTS | \$ 3,652,381 | \$ 3,723,802 | \$ | 71,421 | 2.0% | |

Case No.: U-17880 Exhibit No.: A-3 Schedule: C28

Page: 1 of 1 Witness: Christine M. Hans, CPA

MICHIGAN GAS UTILITY COMPANY

Summary of IBS Employee Benefits Costs

Test Year Ended December 31, 2016

| | 2014 2016 | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|----|-----------------|----|--------------|----|-------------|----------|--------------------|
| | | | Actual Forecast | | | | Increase | Increase | Forecast |
| Line No. | Sub-Account Description | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | % | Method |
| 1 | 926080 A&G Dental Benefits | \$ | 884,193 | \$ | 835,678 | \$ | (48,515) | -5.5% | MGUC Estimate |
| 2 | 926090 A&G Medical Benefits | \$ | 9,788,953 | \$ | 10,576,102 | \$ | 787,149 | 8.0% | MGUC Estimate |
| 3 | 926190 Goal Sharing | \$ | (95,184) | \$ | - | \$ | 95,184 | -100.0% | MGUC Estimate |
| 4 | Subtotal - MGUC Estimate | \$ | 10,577,962 | \$ | 11,411,780 | \$ | 833,818 | 7.9% | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 926000 A&G-Employee Pensions and Bene | \$ | (7,946) | \$ | (8,208) | \$ | (262) | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 8 | 926016 Commuiter Transportation Benefit | \$ | 12,605 | \$ | 13,021 | \$ | 416 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 9 | 926020 Time Away From Work Residual Balance | \$ | 17,881,306 | \$ | 18,471,004 | \$ | 589,698 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 10 | 926025 Time Away From Work - Clearing | \$ | (18,318,392) | \$ | (18,922,505) | \$ | (604,113) | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 11 | 926070 Christmas Gift Check - Retirees | \$ | 10,892 | \$ | 11,251 | \$ | 359 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 12 | 926120 Joint Plant A&G & Non-Utility Loading | \$ | (623,094) | \$ | (643,643) | \$ | (20,549) | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 13 | 926135 Fully-Insured Long Term Disability Premium | \$ | 396,064 | \$ | 409,126 | \$ | 13,062 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 14 | 926140 A&G ESOP Contribution Expense | \$ | 5,778,968 | \$ | 5,969,550 | \$ | 190,582 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 15 | 926170 A&G Capitalized Pensions and Benefits | \$ | (1,763,600) | \$ | (1,821,761) | \$ | (58,161) | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 16 | 926200 Employee Benefits Tuition Reimbursement | \$ | 234,482 | \$ | 242,215 | \$ | 7,733 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 17 | 926250 Company Provided Life Insurance | \$ | 208,855 | \$ | 215,743 | \$ | 6,888 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 18 | 926255 Defined Contribution Plan Exp | \$ | 7,567,221 | \$ | 7,816,776 | \$ | 249,555 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 19 | 926260 Executive Deferred Compensation ESOP Match | \$ | 22,741 | \$ | 23,491 | \$ | 750 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 20 | 926330 Benefits-Wellness | \$ | 292,267 | \$ | 301,906 | \$ | 9,639 | 3.3% | Inflationary |
| 21 | Subtotal - Inflationary Items | \$ | 11,692,369 | \$ | 12,077,966 | \$ | 385,597 | 3.3% | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | 926017 Post Retirement Welfare FAS 106 | \$ | 192,252 | \$ | 150,285 | \$ | (41,967) | -21.8% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 24 | 906019 Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan | \$ | 11,695 | \$ | 11,885 | \$ | 190 | 1.6% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 25 | 926060 A&G Pension Expense | \$ | (1,969,337) | \$ | (2,362,522) | \$ | (393,185) | 20.0% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 26 | 926180 A&G Post Retirement Medical | \$ | 1,340,997 | \$ | 1,401,355 | \$ | 60,358 | 4.5% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 27 | 926210 Pension Restoration and Supp Pension Plan Exp | \$ | 701,252 | \$ | 285,579 | \$ | (415,673) | -59.3% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 28 | 926220 Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan | \$ | 1,245,635 | \$ | 860,977 | \$ | (384,658) | -30.9% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 29 | 926305 Post Retirement Life | \$ | (7,108) | \$ | (4,985) | \$ | 2,123 | -29.9% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 30 | 926315 Long Term Disability Benefit | \$ | 42,706 | \$ | (24,916) | | (67,622) | -158.3% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 31 | 926325 Short Term Disability Benefit | \$ | 5,738 | \$ | - | \$ | (5,738) | -100.0% | Actuarial Analysis |
| 32 | Subtotal - Actuarial Analysis | \$ | 1,563,830 | \$ | 317,658 | \$ | (1,246,172) | -79.7% | |
| 33 | • | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | TOTAL EMPLOYEE BENEFIT COSTS | \$ | 23,834,161 | \$ | 23,807,404 | \$ | (26,757) | -0.1% | |
| 35 | | _ | | | | | | | |
| 36 | Allocation Percentage from IBS to MGUC | | 3.8% | | 3.8% | | | | |
| 37 | | | 2.070 | | 2.070 | | | | |
| 38 | Allocation Dollars from IBS to MGUC | \$ | 911,487 | \$ | 904,681 | \$ | (6,806) | -0.7% | |
| | | | , | | , | _ | (-,) | 70 | |